



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DR

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/786,825 | 02/25/2004 | Belgacem Haba | TESSERA 3.0-337 II | 5077 |
| 38091 | 7590 | 12/21/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| TESSERA | | | FULK, STEVEN J | |
| LERNER DAVID et al. | | | | |
| 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WESTFIELD, NJ 07090 | | | | 2891 |

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/786,825 | HABA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Steven J. Fulk | 2891 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 November 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/26/04</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-21 in the reply filed on November 25, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-10 and 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glenn '644 in view of Haba et al. '910.
 - a. Regarding claims 1-5, 7-10 and 12-21, Glenn discloses a method of making mountable MEMS devices comprising assembling a portion of a wafer having a main surface and a multiplicity of spaced-apart caps projecting upwardly from the main surface (fig. 2B, 42) and having channels between the caps (16); a terminal bearing element incorporating an array of terminals (fig. 3, 44); and electrically connecting the terminals by bonding leads extending to contacts on the wafer disposed in the channels (46). The reference further discloses severing the wafer in the channels (fig. 2B, 20; singulation streets) to form a plurality of units, each unit containing a cap, a terminal, and a contact (fig. 3).

Glenn does not explicitly disclose using a lead frame disposed on top of the cap as the terminal. Haba et al. teaches a method of making electrical connections in microelectrical devices using breakable lead frame sections, wherein the lead frame terminal is mounted on top of the device (fig. 12; col. 11, lines 17-20); the leads are aligned co-directionally with the channels between devices (col. 10, lines 48-67); the lead frame is supported by a dielectric layer (fig. 12, 112); the terminals (118, 130) are separated from each other by severing the leads (128) and bending the leads to engage with the contacts in the channels (172).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the lead frame system of Haba et al. to electrically connect the MEMS device of Glenn. One would have been motivated to do this because attaching a lead-frame as described by Haba et al. was a conventional method of packing a MEMS device to electrically connect it to peripheral circuitry and allow it perform its intended function.

b. Regarding claim 6, Glenn in view of Haba et al. teaches all of the elements of the claims including aligning the leads with the contacts disposed in the channels, but does not explicitly teach the channels to include wide channels and narrow channels.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the channels between devices to be of different widths. This is because the channels having contacts disposed in them would, by definition, have to be at least as wide as the contact, and the

channels without contacts would be more narrow to allow the maximum number of active devices to be formed on the wafer.

4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glenn '644 in view of Haba et al. '910, and further in view of Kim et al. '206.

Glenn in view of Haba et al. teaches all of the elements of the claim including a making a mountable MEMS device having a membrane and a cavity (col. 1, lines 11-21), but does not explicitly teach the MEMS device being acoustically-active. Kim et al. teaches a method of making a mountable, acoustically-active device (surface acoustic wave filter).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the device of Glenn in view of Haba et al. to be used as an acoustically-active device as described by Kim et al. One would have been motivated to do this because surface acoustic wave filters are conventional MEMS devices having a membrane and cavity that are frequently used in RF and IF commercial applications (Kim et al., col. 1, lines 16-22).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Johnson et al. '417, Bureau et al. '194, Bradley et al. '664, and Weekamp et al. '163 disclose methods of making mountable, acoustically-active MEMS devices.

Grube et al. '863, DiStefano et al. '239, Miyazaki et al. '215, and Jiang et al. '456 disclose methods of attaching electrical circuit devices using breakable lead-frames.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Fulk whose telephone number is (571) 272-8323. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on (571) 272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sjf
12/13/05



**BRADLEY K. SMITH
PRIMARY EXAMINER**